

*Mission Economic
Development
Corporation*



*Financial Statements and Independent
Auditor's Report
September 30, 2010*

City of Mission, Texas

**MISSION ECONOMIC
DEVELOPMENT
CORPORATION**

**FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND
INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT**

SEPTEMBER 30, 2010

MEMBER BOARD OF DIRECTORS

YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2010

President	Joe Roseland
Vice President	Polo De Leon
Secretary	Cathy Garcia
Treasurer	Ricardo Martinez
Director	Mayor Norberto Salinas
Director	S. David Deanda
Director	Linda R. Rossborough

MISSION ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION

Year Ended September 30, 2010

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Exhibit</u>	<u>Page</u> <u>No.</u>
Independent Auditor's Report		1-2
Management's Discussion and Analysis		3-9
Basic Financial Statements:		
Government-Wide Financial Statements:		
Statement of Net Assets	A-1	13
Statement of Activities	A-2	14
Fund Financial Statements:		
Balance Sheet - Governmental Funds	A-3	15
Reconciliation of the Governmental Funds Balance Sheet to the Statement of Net Assets	A-4	16
Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balances - Governmental Funds	A-5	17
Reconciliation of the Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balances of Governmental Funds to the Statement of Activities	A-6	18
Notes to Financial Statements		19-32
Required Supplementary Information:		
General Fund-Budgetary Comparison Schedule	B-1	35
Notes to Required Supplementary Information		36
Other Supplementary Information:		
Debt Service Fund-Budgetary Comparison Schedule	C-1	38
Capital Projects Fund-Budgetary Comparison Schedule	C-2	39

MISSION ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION

Year Ended September 30, 2010

TABLE OF CONTENTS (Continued)

	<u>Exhibit</u>	<u>Page</u> <u>No.</u>
Compliance:		
Report on Internal Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with Government Auditing Standards		43-44

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT

Chairman and Members of the Board
Mission Economic Development Corporation
Mission, Texas

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the governmental activities and each major fund of the Mission Economic Development Corporation, a component unit of the City of Mission, Texas, as of and for the year ended September 30, 2010, as listed in the table of contents. These basic financial statements are the responsibility of the Corporation's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes consideration of internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purposes of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Corporation's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinions.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the respective financial position of the governmental activities and each major fund of the Mission Economic Development Corporation, a component unit of the City of Mission, Texas, as of September 30, 2010, and the changes in financial position, thereof for the year then ended in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have also issued our report dated January 12, 2011 on our consideration of the Mission Economic Development Corporation's internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain other provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control

over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* and should be considered in assessing the results of our audit.

The Management's Discussion and Analysis (MD&A) and the budgetary comparison information listed as required supplementary information in the table of contents are not a required part of the basic financial statements but are supplementary information required by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. We have applied certain limited procedures, which consisted principally of inquiries of management regarding the methods of measurement and presentation of the required supplementary information. However, we did not audit the information and express no opinion on it.

Our audit was made for the purpose of forming opinions on the financial statements that collectively comprise the Corporation's basic financial statements. The other supplementary information section, listed in the accompanying table of contents is presented for purposes of additional analysis and is not a required part of the basic financial statements of the Mission Economic Development Corporation, a component unit of the City of Mission, Texas. Such information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statements or to the financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the information is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the financial statements as a whole. The other supplementary information section has not been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements and, accordingly, we do not express an opinion or provide any assurance on them.



LONG CHILTON, LLP
Certified Public Accountants

McAllen, Texas
January 12, 2011

Mission Economic Development Corporation

Management's Discussion and Analysis

September 30, 2010

As management of the Mission Economic Development Corporation (MEDC), we offer readers of the Mission Economic Development Corporation financial statements this narrative overview and analysis of the financial activities of the Mission Economic Development Corporation for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2010. We encourage readers to consider the information presented here in conjunction with the financial statements and disclosure following this section.

Financial Highlights

- The liabilities of MEDC exceeded assets at the close of the most recent fiscal year by \$1,860,561 (net assets).
- The net asset deficit is due to the fact that MEDC does not hold any capital assets in its' name, but rather provides funding for City of Mission projects and to the Mission Economic Development Authority, a separate entity which is required to have an independent audit of its' financial assets and activities.

Overview of the Financial Statements

This discussion and analysis are intended to serve as an introduction to MEDC's basic financial statements. MEDC's basic financial statements comprise three components: 1) government-wide financial statements, 2) fund financial statements, and 3) notes to the financial statements. This report also contains other supplementary information in addition to the basic financial statements themselves.

Government-wide financial statements. The government-wide financial statements are designed to provide readers with a broad overview of MEDC's finances, in a manner similar to a private-sector business.

The *statement of net assets* presents information on all MEDC's assets and liabilities, with the difference between the two reported as *net assets*. Over time, increases or decreases in net assets may serve as a useful indicator of whether the financial position of the MEDC is improving or deteriorating.

The statement of activities presents information showing how the government's net assets are reported as soon as the underlying event giving rise to the change occurs, regardless of the timing of related cash flows.

Both of the government-wide financial statements present governmental activities of the Corporation that are principally supported by sales taxes and operating grants and contributions.

The government-wide financial statements can be found on pages 13-14 of this report.

Fund financial statements. A *fund* is a grouping of related accounts that is used to maintain control over resources that have been segregated for specific activities or objectives. MEDC, like other state and local governments, uses fund accounting to ensure and demonstrate compliance with finance-related legal requirements. All of the funds of MEDC are governmental.

Governmental funds. *Governmental funds* are used to account for essentially the same functions reported as *governmental activities* in the government-wide financial statements. However, unlike the government-wide financial statements, governmental fund financial statements focus on *near-term inflows and outflows of spendable resources*, as well as on *balances of spendable resources* available at the end of the fiscal year. Such information may be useful in evaluating a government's near-term financing requirements.

Because the focus of governmental funds is narrower than that of the government-wide financial statements, it is useful to compare the information presented for *governmental funds* with similar information presented for *governmental activities* in the government-wide financial statements. By doing so, readers may better understand the long-term impact of the government's near-term financing decisions. Both the governmental fund balance sheet and the governmental fund statement of revenues, expenditures, and changes in fund balances provide a reconciliation to facilitate this comparison between *governmental funds* and *governmental activities*.

MEDC maintains three individual governmental funds. Information is presented separately in the governmental fund balance sheet and in the governmental fund statement of revenues, expenditures, and changes in fund balances for the general fund, the debt service fund, and the capital projects fund.

MEDC adopts an annual appropriated budget for its general fund. A budgetary comparison statement has been provided for the general fund to demonstrate compliance with this budget.

The basic governmental fund financial statements can be found on pages 15-18 of this report.

Notes to the financial statements. The notes provide additional information that is essential to a full understanding of the data provided in the government-wide and fund financial statements. The notes to the financial statements begin on page 19 of this report.

Other information. A budgetary comparison schedule is included as Required Supplementary Information (RSI) for the General Fund on page 35. Budgetary comparison schedules for the Debt Service Fund and Capital Projects Fund are provided as other supplementary information on pages 38-39.

Government-wide Financial Analysis

As noted earlier, net assets may serve over time as a useful indicator of a government's financial position. In the case of MEDC, liabilities exceeded assets by \$1,860,561 at the close of the fiscal year. Compared to prior year, the net assets deficit increased by \$75,001 or 4 percent.

Mission Economic Development Corporation Net Assets September 30, 2010

	Governmental Activities		
	2010	2009*	2008
Current and other assets	\$ 2,831,085	\$ 5,950,152	\$ 3,701,724
Capital Assets	2,770,195	189,422	-
Total assets	<u>5,601,280</u>	<u>6,139,574</u>	<u>3,701,724</u>
Long-term liabilities	6,986,270	7,680,000	4,905,000
Other liabilities	475,571	245,134	163,105
Total liabilities	<u>7,461,841</u>	<u>7,925,134</u>	<u>5,068,105</u>
Net Assets:			
Restricted	555,870	595,664	551,483
Unrestricted (deficit)	(2,416,431)	(2,381,224)	(1,917,863)
Total net assets	<u>\$ (1,860,561)</u>	<u>\$ (1,785,560)</u>	<u>\$ (1,366,380)</u>

* Restated to correct classification of Net Assets.

At the end of the current fiscal year, MEDC is unable to report a positive balance in unrestricted net assets. The net asset deficit is due to the fact that MEDC does not hold any capital assets in its name; therefore, any long-term debt issued is to provide funding for City of Mission infrastructure projects. MEDC also provides funding to the Mission Economic Development Authority, a separate entity, which is required to have an independent audit of its financial assets and activities. Restricted net assets are restricted for the purpose of debt service and construction projects.

The following table presents detail on revenues and expenses for the governmental activities that affected net assets.

**Mission Economic Development Corporation
Changes in Net Assets
September 30, 2010**

	Governmental Activities		
	2010	2009	2008
Revenues:			
Program Revenues:			
Charges for services	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Operating grants and contributions	107,204	85,288	345,504
Capital grants and contributions	3,272	491	-
General revenues:			
Other taxes	3,271,555	3,184,243	3,261,526
Interest	5,251	23,405	71,177
Total revenues	<u>3,387,282</u>	<u>3,293,427</u>	<u>3,678,207</u>
Expenses:			
General government	3,113,940	3,455,069	2,738,899
Culture and Recreation	8,275	-	-
Interest on long-term debt	340,068	257,538	257,389
Total expenses	<u>3,462,283</u>	<u>3,712,607</u>	<u>2,996,288</u>
Changes in net assets	(75,001)	(419,180)	681,919
Net assets-beginning	<u>(1,785,560)</u>	<u>(1,366,380)</u>	<u>(2,048,299)</u>
Net assets-ending	<u>\$ (1,860,561)</u>	<u>\$ (1,785,560)</u>	<u>\$ (1,366,380)</u>

Governmental activities. Governmental activities for the fiscal year decreased MEDC's net assets by \$75,001 increasing the deficit net assets from prior year.

Financial Analysis of Government's Funds

As noted earlier, MEDC uses fund accounting to ensure and demonstrate compliance with finance-related legal requirements.

Governmental funds. The focus of MEDC governmental funds is to provide information on near-term inflows, outflows, and balances of spendable resources. Such information is useful in assessing MEDC financing requirements. In particular, unreserved fund balance may serve as a useful measure of a government's net resources available for spending at the end of the fiscal year.

At the end of the current fiscal year, MEDC's governmental funds reported combined ending fund balances of \$2,746,541 a decrease of \$3,006,641 in comparison with the prior year. \$1,924,264 constitutes unreserved fund balance for all governmental funds, which is available for spending at MEDC discretion. The remainder of fund balance is reserved to indicate that it is not available for new spending because it has already been committed for construction projects and reduction of debt service.

The general fund is the chief operating fund of MEDC. At the end of the current fiscal year, unreserved fund balance and total fund balance of the general fund was \$1,924,264. As a measure of the general fund's liquidity, it may be useful to compare both unreserved fund balance and total fund balance to total fund expenditures. Expenditures exceeded unreserved fund balance as well as total fund balance by 38 percent.

During the current fiscal year, the MEDC fund balance of the general fund decreased by \$422,185 or 18%. The key components and factors in the decrease are as follows:

- Sales tax revenues reflected an increase of \$94,781 or 3 percent compared to prior year. The sales tax revenue increase is a result of the Mission's Chamber of Commerce "Shop Mission First" campaign. In addition, many Mexican residents are moving into the Mission/McAllen area due to the increasing violence in the Mexican border towns
- Transfers-out to Debt service increased by \$217,407 or 46% compared to prior year. The additional funds were used to pay the principal and interest payments on the 2009 First National Bank Note. This note is a seven year note and the first payments were made in Fiscal Year 2010.

The debt service fund has a total fund balance of \$596,984, all of which is reserved for the payment of debt service. The net increase in fund balance during the current year in the debt service fund was \$1,320. Principal and interest payments increased by \$217,406, which represents the First National Bank Note principal and interest payments.

The capital projects fund has a total fund balance of \$225,293. During Fiscal Year 2009, the Board authorized a bank loan from First National Bank for \$3 million. The proceeds were used for improvements to the Shary Golf Course irrigation system and the remodeling of the Shary Golf Course Club House. As of September 30, 2010, the projects were still under construction in progress. Once the projects are completed the improvements will be recognized in the City's assets.

General Fund Budgetary Highlights

There were no changes between the original budget and the final budget at the end of September 30, 2010.

- Actual expenditures were \$716,060 less than final budget. The majority of the difference was in the MEDA incentive program. Due to the slow economy, fewer businesses were enticed to come to the City of Mission.

The original revenue budget wasn't amended in FY 2010.

- Actual revenues exceed final budget by \$46,428. The majority of the increase is attributed to annual fees received from Conduit Debt Issuers.

Capital Asset and Debt Administration

Long-term debt. At the end of the current fiscal year, MEDC had total long-term debt outstanding of 7,336,183.

Mission Economic Development Corporation Outstanding Sales Tax Revenue Bonds September 30, 2010

	Governmental Activities		
	2010	2009	2008
Subordinate Lien Sales Tax Revenue Bonds	\$ 4,445,000	\$ 4,680,000	\$ 4,905,000
2009 FNB Loan	2,891,183	3,000,000	-
Total	<u>\$ 7,336,183</u>	<u>\$ 4,680,000</u>	<u>\$ 4,905,000</u>

MEDC's outstanding bonds underlying rating is "Baa2 and Aaa" by Moody's Rating Services.

As of September 30, 2010, MEDC had no authorized but unissued bonds. Additional information on MEDC's long-term debt can be found on pages 27-29 of this report.

Economic Factors and Next Year's Budget

Sales tax is the major revenue source for MEDC and changes in the economy for the City of Mission will have an impact on MEDC's financial situation. Another major economic factor that can affect MEDC's financial situation is the unemployment rate.

- According to the Texas Labor Market Review, the unemployment rate for the City of Mission as of November 2010 was 9.6 percent, which represents a slight increase compared to 9.3 percent from prior year. The state and national average unemployment rates are at 8.3 percent and 9.3 percent respectively.
- The 2010 general fund operating budget was prepared using \$1,442,098 as the estimated unreserved fund balance at September 30, 2010. The actual fund balance for the general fund was \$1,924,264; nevertheless, a \$727,205 fund balance is projected at September 30, 2011.

Requests for Information

This financial report is designed to provide a general overview of MEDC's finances for all those with an interest in the government's finances. Questions concerning any of the information provided in this report or requests for additional financial information should be addressed to the Office of the Finance Director, 1201 East 8th Street, City of Mission, Texas, 78572.

This page left blank intentionally.

*BASIC FINANCIAL
STATEMENTS*

This page left blank intentionally.

MISSION ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION
STATEMENT OF NET ASSETS
September 30, 2010

	<u>Governmental Activities</u>
ASSETS	
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 448,528
Investments	996,812
Receivables, net	553,738
Restricted Assets	832,007
Capital assets:	
Construction-in-progress	2,770,195
Total Assets	<u>5,601,280</u>
LIABILITIES	
Accounts payable	54,020
Accrued interest payable from restricted assets	41,114
Restricted payables	9,730
Due to primary government	20,794
Noncurrent liabilities	
Due within one year	349,913
Due in more than one year	6,986,270
Total Liabilities	<u>7,461,841</u>
NET ASSETS	
Restricted For:	
Debt Service	555,870
Unrestricted	<u>(2,416,431)</u>
Total Net Assets	<u>\$ (1,860,561)</u>

The accompanying notes are an integral part of this statement.

MISSION ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION
STATEMENT OF ACTIVITIES
For the Year Ended September 30, 2010

Functions/Programs	Expenses	Program Revenues		Net (Expenses) Revenue and Changes in Net Assets
		Operating Grants and Contributions	Capital Grants and Contributions	Governmental Activities
COMPONENT UNIT:				
Governmental activities:				
General government	\$ 3,113,940	\$ 105,885	\$ -	\$ (3,008,055)
Culture and recreation	8,275	-	-	(8,275)
Interest and fiscal charges on long-term debt	340,068	1,319	3,272	(335,477)
Total Governmental Activities	<u>3,462,283</u>	<u>107,204</u>	<u>3,272</u>	<u>(3,351,807)</u>
Total Component Unit	<u>3,462,283</u>	<u>107,204</u>	<u>3,272</u>	<u>(3,351,807)</u>
General				
Sales taxes				3,271,555
Interest earned				<u>5,251</u>
Total General Revenues				<u>3,276,806</u>
Change in Net Assets				(75,001)
Net assets - 10/01/09				<u>(1,785,560)</u>
Net assets - 09/30/10				<u>\$ (1,860,561)</u>

The accompanying notes are an integral part of this statement.

MISSION ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION
BALANCE SHEET-GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS
September 30, 2010

	<u>General Fund</u>	<u>Debt Service Fund</u>	<u>Capital Projects Fund</u>	<u>Total Governmental Funds</u>
ASSETS				
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 448,528	\$ 243,223	\$ 33,183	\$ 724,934
Investments	996,812	353,383	201,840	1,552,035
Receivables:				
Sales Tax	551,545	-	-	551,545
Accounts-other	1,000	-	-	1,000
Accrued interest receivable	1,193	378	-	1,571
Total Assets	<u>\$ 1,999,078</u>	<u>\$ 596,984</u>	<u>\$ 235,023</u>	<u>\$ 2,831,085</u>
LIABILITIES				
Accounts payable	\$ 54,020	\$ -	\$ 8,230	\$ 62,250
Retainage payable	-	-	1,500	1,500
Due to primary government	20,794	-	-	20,794
Total Liabilities	<u>74,814</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>9,730</u>	<u>84,544</u>
FUND BALANCES				
Reserve for capital projects	-	-	225,293	225,293
Reserved for debt	-	596,984	-	596,984
Unreserved	1,924,264	-	-	1,924,264
Total Fund Balances	<u>1,924,264</u>	<u>596,984</u>	<u>225,293</u>	<u>2,746,541</u>
Total Liabilities and Fund Balances	<u>\$ 1,999,078</u>	<u>\$ 596,984</u>	<u>\$ 235,023</u>	<u>\$ 2,831,085</u>

The accompanying notes are an integral part of this statement.

MISSION ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION
RECONCILIATION OF THE GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS BALANCE SHEET
TO THE STATEMENT OF NET ASSETS
 September 30, 2010

Total fund balances - governmental funds balance sheet	\$	2,746,541
Amounts reported for governmental activities in the statement of net assets are different because:		
Capital assets used in governmental activities are not reported in the funds.		2,770,195
Payables for bond principal which are not due in the current period are not reported in funds.		(7,336,183)
Payables for bond interest which are not due in the current period are not reported in funds.		<u>(41,114)</u>
Net assets of governmental activities - statements of net assets	\$	<u><u>(1,860,561)</u></u>

The accompanying notes are an integral part of this statement.

MISSION ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION
STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCES
GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS
For the Year Ended September 30, 2010

	General Fund	Debt Service Fund	Capital Projects Fund	Total Governmental Funds
Revenues:				
Taxes	\$ 3,271,555	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 3,271,555
Interest	5,251	1,319	3,272	9,842
Miscellaneous	105,885	-	-	105,885
Total Revenues	<u>3,382,691</u>	<u>1,319</u>	<u>3,272</u>	<u>3,387,282</u>
Expenditures:				
Current:				
General Government:				
Economic development	3,113,940	-	-	3,113,940
Capital projects:				
Culture and Recreation	-	-	2,589,048	2,589,048
Debt service:				
Principal	-	343,817	-	343,817
Interest and fiscal charges	-	347,118	-	347,118
Total expenditures	<u>3,113,940</u>	<u>690,935</u>	<u>2,589,048</u>	<u>6,393,923</u>
Excess (Deficiency) of Revenues over Expenditures	<u>268,751</u>	<u>(689,616)</u>	<u>(2,585,776)</u>	<u>(3,006,641)</u>
Other Financing Sources (Uses):				
Operating transfers	(690,936)	690,936	-	-
Total Other Financing Sources (Uses)	<u>(690,936)</u>	<u>690,936</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>
Net change in fund balances	<u>(422,185)</u>	<u>1,320</u>	<u>(2,585,776)</u>	<u>(3,006,641)</u>
Fund Balances at Beginning of Year	<u>2,346,449</u>	<u>595,664</u>	<u>2,811,069</u>	<u>5,753,182</u>
Fund Balances at End of Year	<u>\$ 1,924,264</u>	<u>\$ 596,984</u>	<u>\$ 225,293</u>	<u>\$ 2,746,541</u>

The accompanying notes are an integral part of this statement.

MISSION ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION
RECONCILIATION OF THE STATEMENT OF REVENUES,
EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCES OF
GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS TO THE STATEMENT OF ACTIVITIES
 For the Year Ended September 30, 2010

Net change in fund balances - total governmental funds	\$	(3,006,641)
amounts reported for governmental activities in the statement of activities ("SOA") are different because:		
Capital assets used in governmental activities are not reported in the funds.		2,580,773
Repayment of bond principal is an expenditure in the funds but is not an expense in the SOA.		343,817
(Increase)decrease in accrued interest payable from beginning of period to end of period		<u>7,050</u>
Change in net assets of governmental activities - statement of activities	\$	<u><u>(75,001)</u></u>

The accompanying notes are an integral part of this statement.

MISSION ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION
NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
September 30, 2010

NOTE 1 - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

The financial statements of the Mission Economic Development Corporation (MEDC), have been prepared in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) as applied to government units. The Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) is the accepted standard-setting body for establishing governmental accounting and financial reporting principles. The more significant of the government's accounting policies are described below.

The accounting policies of the MEDC as reflected in the accompanying financial statements conform to generally accepted accounting principles for local governmental units as prescribed by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB).

A. Reporting Entity

The Mission Economic Development Corporation (MEDC) was organized on behalf of the City of Mission, Texas for the specific public purpose of the promotion and development of commercial, industrial and manufacturing enterprises to promote and encourage employment and the public welfare. Funding for MEDC comes from an additional ½ of 1% sales tax approved by the voters of the City of Mission. MEDC is a discretely presented component unit of the City and is operated and governed by a seven member board of directors, each of which is appointed by the City Council. Any director may be removed from office by the City Council for cause or at will. In addition, the City approves the programs and expenditures of MEDC and must approve amendments to MEDC's bylaws and Articles of Incorporation. Therefore, these accompanying financial statements are not intended to present fairly the financial position, results of operations and cash flows, where appropriate, for the City of Mission, Texas. These financial statements are included in the comprehensive annual financial report for the City of Mission, Texas as a discretely presented component unit.

On September 12, 1994 the Mission Economic Development Corporation (MEDC) was issued a Certificate of Incorporation by the State of Texas as a nonprofit corporation under the Development Corporation Act of 1979 Vernon's Ann Civ. St. Art. 5190.6 as amended.

The authority to enact the sales and use tax for economic development is Vernon's Ann. Civ.St.art. 5190.6 4B of the Development Corporation Act of 1979, including subsequent amendments. Under Section 4B, cities may use the funds raised by this sales tax for purposes related to economic development. These purposes include:

- Purchasing land, building and equipment
- Facilities including public safety facilities
- Targeted infrastructure and improvements for the creation or retention of primary jobs and that the corporation's board deems suitable for manufacturing and industrial facilities, research and development facilities, transportation facilities, sewage or solid waste disposal facilities,
- Funding other projects found in the Act that are in the best interest of the City. (Refer to Vernon's An. Civ.St.Art. 5160.6(10), 4B (1).)

MISSION ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION
NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
September 30, 2010

NOTE 1 - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

B. Government-wide and fund financial statements

The government-wide financial statements (i.e. the statement of net assets and the statement of changes in net assets) report information on all of the nonfiduciary activities of the reporting entity. For the most part, the effect of interfund activity has been removed from these statements. *Governmental activities* generally are supported by taxes, intergovernmental revenues, and other non-exchange transactions.

The statement of activities demonstrates the degree to which the direct expenses of a given function or segment are offset by program revenues. *Direct expenses* are those that are clearly identifiable with a specific function or segment. *Program revenues* include 1) charges to customers or applicants who purchase, use, or directly benefit from goods, services, or privileges provided by a given function or segment and 2) grants and contributions that are restricted to meeting the operational or capital requirements of a particular function or segment.

Taxes and other items not properly included among program revenues are reported instead as *general revenues*.

Separate financial statements are provided for governmental funds. Major individual governmental funds are reported as separate columns in the fund financial statements. All remaining governmental funds are aggregated and reported as non-major funds.

MEDC reports the following major governmental funds:

General Fund - The General Fund is the general operating fund of the MEDC. It is used to account for all financial resources except those required to be accounted for in another fund.

Debt Service Fund - Debt Service Funds are used to account for the accumulation of resources for, and the payment of, general long-term debt principal, interest, and related costs.

Capital Projects Fund – Capital Projects Funds are used to account for the acquisition of capital assets or the construction of capital facilities

C. Measurement focus and basis of accounting

The government-wide financial statements are reported using the *economic resources measurement focus* and the *accrual basis of accounting*. Revenues are recorded when earned and expenses are recorded when a liability is incurred, regardless of the timing of related cash flows. Grants and similar items are recognized as revenue as soon as all eligibility requirements imposed by the provider have been met.

Governmental fund financial statements are reported using the *current financial resources measurement focus* and the *modified accrual basis of accounting*. Revenues are recognized as soon as they are both measurable and available. Revenues are considered to be *available*

MISSION ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION
NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
September 30, 2010

NOTE 1 - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (*Continued*)

when they are collectible within the current period or soon enough thereafter to pay liabilities of the current period. For this purpose, MEDC considers revenues to be available if they are collected within 30 days of the end of the current fiscal period. Expenditures generally are recorded when a liability is incurred, as under accrual accounting. However, debt service expenditures, as well as claims and judgments, are recorded only when payment is due.

Sales taxes collected and held by the intermediary collecting governments at year end on behalf of the MEDC also are recognized as revenue.

When both restricted and unrestricted resources are available for use, it is the government's policy to use restricted resources first, than unrestricted resources as they are needed.

D. Cash and Investments

Cash and cash equivalents are considered to be demand deposits and short-term investments with original maturities of three months or less from the date of acquisition. Investments consist of money market investments and certificates of deposit.

Money market investments which are short-term, highly liquid debt instruments including commercial paper, bankers acceptance and U.S. Treasury and agency obligations are reported at amortized cost. All other investments are reported at fair value except for certificates of deposit which are stated at cost.

The Mission Economic Development Corporation is authorized to invest in certificates of deposit, obligations of the U.S. Government and its agencies, or instrumentalities and state obligations. Investments are reported at amortized cost, except for certificates of deposit which are stated at cost.

E. Interfund Activity

Interfund activity results from loans, services provided, reimbursements or transfers between funds. Loans are reported as interfund receivables and payables as appropriate and are subject to elimination upon consolidation. Services provided are treated as revenues and expenditures or expenses. Reimbursements occur when one fund incurs a cost, charges the appropriate benefiting fund and reduces its related cost as a reimbursement. All other interfund transactions are treated as transfers. Transfers In and Transfers Out are netted and presented as a single "Transfers" line on the government-wide statement of activities. Similarly, interfund receivables and payables are netted and presented as a single "Internal Balances" line of the government-wide statement of net assets.

F. Restricted Assets

Certain proceeds of long-term debt, as well as certain resources set aside for their repayment, are classified as restricted assets on the balance sheet because their use is limited by applicable debt covenants. The "interest and sinking fund" account is used to segregate resources

MISSION ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION
NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
September 30, 2010

NOTE 1 - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

accumulated for debt service payments over the next twelve months. The "reserve fund" account is used to report resources set aside to make up potential future deficiencies in the interest and sinking fund.

G. Long-Term Obligations

In government-wide financial statements, long-term debt and other long-term obligations are reported as liabilities. Bond premiums and discounts, as well as issuance costs, are deferred charges and amortized over the term of the related debt.

In the fund financial statements, governmental fund types recognize bond premiums and discounts, as well as bond issuance costs, during the current period. Premiums received and discounts incurred on debt issuances are reported as other financing sources and uses. Issuance costs, whether or not withheld from debt proceeds, are reported as debt service expenditures.

H. Fund Equity

In governmental fund financial statements, fund balance that represents amounts that are not available for appropriation or are legally restricted by outside parties for use for a specific purpose are reported as reservations of fund balance. Amounts representing tentative management plans, which are subject to change, are reported as designations of fund balance.

I. Prepaid Items

In the governmental fund types, payments made for services that benefit periods beyond the current year are recorded as expenditures in the current year.

J. Capital Assets

Capital Assets are reported in the government-wide Statement of Net Assets. Capital assets are recorded at historical cost and depreciated over their estimated useful lives unless they are inexhaustible, such as land. Depreciation is not recorded on items classified as construction in progress. Depreciation expense is recorded in the government-wide Statement of Activities. Depreciation is recorded using the straight-line method over the estimate useful lives of the capital assets.

Mission Economic Development Corporation has recorded construction in progress in the amount of \$2,770,195 as of September 30, 2010. These costs are related to various improvements being made at the City of Mission – Shary Municipal Golf Course. When these improvements are completed the assets will be transferred to the City of Mission.

MISSION ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION
NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
September 30, 2010

NOTE 2 - DEPOSITS AND INVESTMENTS

Deposits - At September 30, 2010, the carrying value of the Mission Economic Development Corporation's deposits was \$1,661,934 and the bank balance was \$1,683,255. All deposits were fully insured or collateralized.

Investments - During the year, MEDC's investments consisted of obligations of the U.S. Government or its Agencies and instrumentalities. Investments are categorized to give an indication of the level of risk assumed by MEDC at September 30, 2010. Such investments are categorized as either (1) insured or registered in MEDC's name, or securities held by MEDC or its agent in MEDC's name, (2) uninsured and unregistered, with securities held by the counterparty's trust department or agent in MEDC's name, or (3) uninsured and unregistered, with securities held by the counterparty's trust department or agent but not in MEDC's name.

Investments

The primary objective of MEDC's investment activity is the preservation of capital in the overall portfolio. Each investment transaction shall be conducted in a manner to avoid capital losses, whether they are from security defaults or erosion of market value.

MEDC's policy authorizes the following investment instruments:

1. Obligations of the United States of America, its agencies and instrumentalities, which have a liquid market with a readily determinable market value.
2. Direct obligations of the State of Texas and agencies thereof.
3. Other obligations, the principal of and interest on which are unconditionally guaranteed by the State of Texas or United States of America.
4. Obligations of the States, agencies thereof, Counties, Cities, and other political subdivisions of any state having been rated as investment quality by a nationally recognized investment rating firm, and having received a rating of not less than "A" or its equivalent.
5. Certificates of Deposit of state and national banks domiciled in Texas, guaranteed or insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance or its successor or secured by obligations described in 1 through 4 above, which are intended to include all direct agency or instrumentality issued mortgage backed securities rated AAA by nationally recognized rating agency, or by Article 2529b-1, V.T.C.S., and that have a market value of not less than the principal amount of the certificates.
6. Fully collateralized direct repurchase agreements with a defined termination date secured by obligations of the United States or its agencies and instrumentalities pledged with a third party, selected by the Finance Director, other than an agency for the pledgor. Repurchase agreements must be purchased through a primary government securities dealer, as defined by the Federal Reserve, or a bank domiciled in Texas. A master repurchase agreement must be signed by the bank/dealer prior to investment in a repurchase agreement.

MISSION ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION
NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
September 30, 2010

NOTE 2 - DEPOSITS AND INVESTMENTS (Continued)

7. Joint pools of political subdivisions in the State of Texas which invest in instruments and follow practices allowed by current law. A pool must be continuously rated no lower than AAA or AAA-m or at an equivalent rating by at least one nationally recognized rating service.

Interest Rate Risk –

The risk that changes in interest rates of debt investments will adversely affect the fair value of an investment.

MEDC's investment policy limits average maturities of all investments to one year or less. The maximum final stated maturity of any investment shall not exceed five years. Portfolio diversification is employed as a way to control the risk. MEDC's investment portfolio is required to have sufficient liquidity to meet anticipated cash flow requirements.

The following is the Interest Rate Risk using Specific Identification, as of September 30, 2010:

Investment Type	Amortized Cost	Maturity Period	
		3 Months Less	or 4-12 Months
Texpool	\$ 296,812	\$ 296,812	\$ -
Texpool	116,383	116,383	-
Texpool	201,839	201,839	-
	<u>\$ 615,034</u>	<u>\$ 615,034</u>	<u>\$ -</u>

Credit Risk –

MEDC's investment policy authorizes the following pools:

Public funds investment pools in Texas ("Pools") are established under the authority of the Inter-local Cooperation Act, Chapter 79 of the Texas Government Code, and are subject to the provisions of the Public Funds Investment Act (the "Act"). Chapter 2258 of the Texas Government Code, in addition to other provisions of the Act designed to promote liquidity and safety of principal, the Act requires Pools to: 1) have an advisory board composed of participants in the pool and other persons who do not have a business relationship with the pool and are qualified to advise the pool; 2) maintain a continuous rating of no lower than AAA or AAA-m or an equivalent rating by at least one nationally recognized rating service; and 3) maintain the market value of its underlying investment portfolio within one half of one percent of the value of its shares.

MISSION ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION
NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
September 30, 2010

NOTE 2 - DEPOSITS AND INVESTMENTS (Continued)

Statutes authorize MEDC to invest in obligations of the U.S. Treasury or the State of Texas, certain U.S. agencies, certificates of deposit, certain municipal securities, repurchase agreements, and other investments specifically allowed by the Public Funds Investment Act of 1995 (Chapter 2256, Texas Government Code).

MEDC's investment policies and types of investments are governed by the Public Funds Investments Act (PFIA). The Corporation's management believes that it complied with the requirements of the PFIA and the Corporation's Investment policies.

As of September 30, 2010, the following was the composition of MEDC's credit rating by investment:

Credit Quality Distribution of Securities
With Credit Exposure as a Percentage of Total Investments

Investment Type	Rating	Exposure
Texpool	AAA	48%
Texpool	AAA	19%
Texpool	AAA	33%

Concentrations of Credit Risk –

Portfolio diversification is critically important to MEDC to help mitigate the risk of loss. The following are employed terms of investment instruments, maturity scheduling, and financial institutions to reduce risk of loss resulting from over concentration of assets in a specific class of investments, specific maturity, or specific issuer, as a way to control risk.

As of September 30, 2010, the following was the composition of MEDC's investment portfolio:

Texpool	AAA	48%
Texpool	AAA	19%
Texpool	AAA	33%

MISSION ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION
NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
September 30, 2010

NOTE 2 - DEPOSITS AND INVESTMENTS (Continued)

A reconciliation of cash and investments as shown on the statement of net assets for MEDC follows:

Carrying amount deposit	\$ 1,661,935
Investments	615,034
Cash and Investments Statement of Net Assets	\$ 2,276,969
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 448,528
Investments	996,812
Restricted Assets	832,007
Less: Accrued Interest	(378)
Cash and Investments Statement of Net Assets	\$ 2,276,969

Custodial Credit Risk –

For an investment, custodial credit risk is the risk that MEDC will not be able to recover the value of investments or collateral securities that are in the possession of an outside party if the counterparty to an investment will not fulfill its obligation.

MEDC's investments are categorized as a level one custodial credit risk, meaning that its investments are insured or registered or securities held by MEDC or its' agent in MEDC's name.

MEDC is prohibited from investing in the following types of investments:

- a. Obligations whose payment represents the coupon payments on the outstanding principal balance of the underlying mortgage-backed security collateral and pays no principal.
- b. Obligations whose payment represents the principal stream of cash flow from the underlying mortgage-backed security collateral and bears no interest.
- c. Collateralized mortgage obligations that have a stated final maturity date of greater than ten years.
- d. Collateralized mortgage obligations the interest rate of which is determined by an index that adjusts opposite to the changes in a market index.

MISSION ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION
NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
September 30, 2010

NOTE 3 – INTERFUND RECEIVABLES, PAYABLES AND TRANSFERS

A. Due to/from the primary government and component unit at September 30, 2010 were as follows:

Receivable Entity	Payable Entity	Amount
Primary Government-City of Mission	MEDC-General Fund	\$ 20,794

B. Interfund Transfers:

Transfer Out: General Fund	Debt Service	
	Fund	Total
Total Transfer Out	\$ 690,936	\$ 690,936

Transfers out of the general fund to the debt service fund were tax collections to cover debt service requirements that came due within the fiscal year.

NOTE 4 - RESTRICTED ASSETS

Restricted assets held by Mission Economic Development Corporation at September 30, 2010 consist of the following:

	Cash and Cash Equivalents	Investments	Accrued Interest	Total
Reserve Fund	\$ 955	\$ 148,000	\$ 194	\$ 149,149
Debt Service Fund	242,268	205,383	184	447,835
Capital Projects	31,683	201,840	-	233,523
Retainage	1,500	-	-	1,500
	\$ 276,407	\$ 555,223	\$ 378	\$ 832,007

NOTE 5 - LONG-TERM DEBT PAYABLE

MEDC has issued sales tax revenue bonds to finance various construction projects to enhance economic development in the Mission area. These bonds are to be repaid with sales tax revenue.

MISSION ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION
NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
September 30, 2010

NOTE 5 - LONG-TERM DEBT PAYABLE (Continued)

Sales tax revenue bonds payable at September 30, 2010 for the MEDC are comprised of the following:

\$1,415,000 (Tax-Exempt) Sales Tax Revenue Serial Bonds	
Series 1995 due in annual installments ranging from \$30,000 to \$110,000 from January 1, 1997 through 2020; Interest at 5.25% to 6.60%.	\$ 840,000
\$5,180,000 Subordinate Lien Sales Tax Revenue Bonds	
Series 1999 due in annual installments ranging from \$110,000 to \$345,000 from February 15, 2000 through 2024; Interest at 4.15% to 5.0%. Bonds maturing in 2010 and beyond Are subject to being called in increments of \$5,000.	<u>3,605,000</u>
Total	<u>\$ 4,445,000</u>

The annual requirements to retire the sales tax revenue bonds including interest are as follows:

Year Ending September 30,	Principal	Interest
2011	\$ 245,000	\$ 223,624
2012	260,000	210,995
2013	275,000	197,438
2014	290,000	182,939
2015	305,000	167,538
2016-2020	1,780,000	572,211
2021-2025	<u>1,290,000</u>	<u>132,750</u>
Total	<u>\$ 4,445,000</u>	<u>\$ 1,687,495</u>

Sales tax revenue notes payable at September 30, 2010 for the MEDC is comprised of the following:

\$3,000,000 Sales Tax Revenue Note due in semi-annual Installments ranging from \$109,259 to \$366,273 including Interest at 3.9%. Note matures August 15, 2015. Secured By first priority perfected security interest in sales tax Revenues collected pursuant to Section 4B of the Development Corporation Act of 1979.	<u>\$ 2,891,183</u>
---	---------------------

MISSION ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION
NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
September 30, 2010

NOTE 5 - LONG-TERM DEBT PAYABLE (Continued)

The annual requirement to retire the sales tax revenue note payable including interest are as follows:

Year Ending September 30,	Principal	Interest
2011	\$ 105,209	\$ 113,310
2012	109,116	109,405
2013	632,835	99,712
2014	658,106	74,441
2015	684,385	48,161
2016-2020	701,533	20,870
Total	<u>\$ 2,891,183</u>	<u>\$ 465,898</u>

The following is a summary of changes in long-term debt obligations for MEDC for the year ended September 30, 2010:

	September 30, 2009	Additional Obligations and Net Increases	Retirements and Net Decreases	September 30, 2010	Due within One Year
Sales Tax Revenue Bonds	\$ 4,680,000	\$ -	\$ 235,000	\$ 4,445,000	\$ 245,000
Sales Tax Revenue Note	3,000,000	-	108,817	2,891,183	105,209
	<u>\$ 7,680,000</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ 343,817</u>	<u>\$ 7,336,183</u>	<u>\$ 350,209</u>

The above listed bond issues are to be repaid from the 1/2 cent sales tax levied under the Section 4B referred to in Note 1(A).

NOTE 6 – CONDUIT DEBT

Mission Economic Development Corporation (the "Issuer") pursuant to Texas Civil Statutes Article 5190.6(the "Act"), and the Industrial Revenue Bond Program (the "Rules"), Title 10 Texas Administrative Code Chapter 180, promulgated by the Office of the Governor, Economic Development and Tourism Division (the "Division") has issued the following Industrial Development Bonds to finance various projects in the State of Texas:

MISSION ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION
NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
September 30, 2010

NOTE 6 – CONDUIT DEBT (Continued)

	<u>Balance at September 30, 2010</u>
\$41,750,000 Solid Waste Disposal Revenue Bonds (Waste Management, Inc. Project) Series 2006 dated December 1, 2006	\$ 41,750,000
\$24,000,000 Variable Rate Demand Solid Waste Disposal Revenue Bonds (IESI Texas Corporation Project) Series 2007 dated March 1, 2007	24,000,000
\$56,800,000 Solid Waste Disposal Revenue Bonds (Allied Waste North America, Inc. Project) Series 2007 A dated April 1, 2007	56,800,000
\$6,000,000 Variable Rate Demand Industrial Development Revenue Bonds (CMI Project) Series 2007 dated May 1, 2007	5,590,000
\$56,200,000 Solid Waste Disposal Variable Rate Demand Revenue Bond (Allied Waste North America, Inc. Project) Series 2008A dated May 1, 2008	56,200,000
\$10,000,000 Industrial Development Revenue Bond (AmeriTex Pipe & Products LLC) Series 2008 dated August 1, 2008	8,438,103
\$67,000,000 Solid Waste Disposal Revenue Bond (Waste Management Inc, Project), Series 2008 dated August 1, 2008	67,000,000
\$1,341,250 Industrial Development Revenue Bond (4 Over, Inc. Project) Series 2009A dated February 1, 2009	1,406,411
\$1,702,000 Industrial Development Revenue Bond (4 Over, Inc. Project) Series 2009B dated February 1, 2009	<u>1,094,049</u>
	<u>\$ 262,278,563</u>

All of the bonds listed above are payable solely from, and secured solely by a pledge of payments made under loan agreements between the borrowers and MEDC which are assigned

MISSION ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION
NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
September 30, 2010

NOTE 6 – CONDUIT DEBT (Continued)

under separate Trust Indentures with various banks. The payments required under the loan agreement are further guaranteed under either Guaranty Agreements or Irrevocable Letters of Credit issued in favor of the Trustee under the Trust Indenture.

Neither the State of Texas, Mission, Texas nor any political corporation, subdivision or agency of the State of Texas shall be obligated to pay the principal of, premium, if any, the interest on, or the purchase price of the bonds, and neither the faith and credit nor the taxing power of the State of Texas, Mission, Texas or any other political corporation, subdivision, or agency thereof is pledged to the payment of the principal of, premium, if any, interest on, or the purchase price of the bonds.

In connection with the process which ultimately may lead to the issuance of conduit debt, MEDC charges an application fee of \$2,500 per application. During the year ended September 30, 2010, MEDC did not receive any application fees.

After conduit debt has been issued, MEDC charges an annual fee based upon the original par value of the bonds, which ranges from 2 basis points to 5 basis points depending on the type of project and the rating of the bonds being issued. For the year ended September 30, 2010, MEDC received a net \$105,885 in annual fees (net of \$105,885 in advisor and local access fees).

NOTE 7 – RISK MANAGEMENT

MEDC is exposed to various risks of loss related to torts; theft of, damage to and destruction of assets; errors and omissions; and natural disasters for which it obtains commercial insurance through the City of Mission, Texas, of which it is a component unit. There have been no significant reductions in insurance coverage from the previous year; no negative statements or jury awards have exceeded insurance coverage in any of the past three years.

NOTE 8 – CONSTRUCTION AND IMPROVEMENTS COMMITMENTS

Construction and Improvements commitment for September 30, 2010, were as follows:

<u>Project</u>	<u>Contracted Amount</u>	<u>Spent to Date</u>	<u>Commitments</u>
Shary Municipal Golf Course			
-Replacement of Irrigation System	\$ 1,350,390	\$ 1,350,390	\$ -
- New Building Phase II Improvements	<u>1,240,017</u>	<u>1,237,599</u>	<u>2,418</u>
	<u>\$ 2,590,407</u>	<u>\$ 2,587,989</u>	<u>\$ 2,418</u>

At September 30, 2010, MEDC had \$2,418 of remaining contractual commitments in construction and improvement projects.

MISSION ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION
NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
September 30, 2010

NOTE 9 – SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

- A. Subsequent to year end, MEDC issued Sales Tax Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series 2010 in the aggregate principal amount of \$4,380,000. The proceeds of these refunding bonds are to be used to refund the \$1,415,000 (Tax-Exempt) Sales Tax Revenue Serial Bonds Series 1995 and the \$5,180,000 Subordinate Lien Sales Tax Revenue Bonds Series 1999.

- B. Subsequent to year end MEDC approved the issuance of Series 2011A and Series 2011B (Taxable Series) Mission Economic Development Corporation Solid Waste Disposal Revenue Bonds for the Dallas Clean Energy McCommas Bluff, LLC Project in an aggregate principal amount not to exceed \$55,000,000.

*REQUIRED
SUPPLEMENTARY
INFORMATION*

This page left blank intentionally.

MISSION ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION
GENERAL FUND
BUDGETARY COMPARISON SCHEDULE
For the Year Ended September 30, 2010

	Budgeted Amounts		Actual	Variance with Final Budget Positive (Negative)
	Original	Final Budget		
Revenues:				
Taxes:				
Sales tax	\$ 3,250,000	\$ 3,250,000	\$ 3,271,555	\$ 21,555
Total Taxes	<u>3,250,000</u>	<u>3,250,000</u>	<u>3,271,555</u>	<u>21,555</u>
Interest:				
Interest earned on investments	25,000	25,000	4,896	(20,104)
Interest earned on demand deposit	1,500	1,500	355	(1,145)
Total Interest	<u>26,500</u>	<u>26,500</u>	<u>5,251</u>	<u>(21,249)</u>
Miscellaneous:				
Application Fees-Ind. Dev. Bonds	3,750	3,750	-	(3,750)
Annual Filing Fee-Ind. Dev. Bonds	56,013	56,013	105,885	49,872
Total Miscellaneous	<u>59,763</u>	<u>59,763</u>	<u>105,885</u>	<u>46,122</u>
 Total Revenues	 <u>3,336,263</u>	 <u>3,336,263</u>	 <u>3,382,691</u>	 <u>46,428</u>
Expenditures:				
Current:				
General Government:				
Street and drainage improvements	1,000,000	1,000,000	1,000,000	-
Travel, training, dues and subscriptions	20,000	20,000	-	20,000
Community promotions	50,000	50,000	3,500	46,500
Professional services	170,000	170,000	162,990	8,010
M.E.D.A. operating grant	900,000	900,000	900,000	-
M.E.D.A. incentives	1,400,000	1,400,000	811,305	588,695
Texas Citrus Fiesta	135,000	135,000	135,000	-
Golf Course	100,000	100,000	100,000	-
Contingency	50,000	50,000	-	50,000
Administration and miscellaneous	4,000	4,000	1,145	2,855
Total General Government	<u>3,829,000</u>	<u>3,829,000</u>	<u>3,113,940</u>	<u>716,060</u>
 Total Expenditures	 <u>3,829,000</u>	 <u>3,829,000</u>	 <u>3,113,940</u>	 <u>716,060</u>
Excess (Deficiency) of Revenues Over Expenditures	<u>(492,737)</u>	<u>(492,737)</u>	<u>268,751</u>	<u>(669,632)</u>
Other Financing Sources (Uses):				
Operating transfers out	(690,936)	(690,936)	(690,936)	-
Total Other Financing Sources (Uses)	<u>(690,936)</u>	<u>(690,936)</u>	<u>(690,936)</u>	<u>-</u>
 Net Change in Fund Balance	 <u>(1,183,673)</u>	 <u>(1,183,673)</u>	 <u>(422,185)</u>	 <u>(669,632)</u>
Fund Balance at Beginning of Year	<u>2,346,449</u>	<u>2,346,449</u>	<u>2,346,449</u>	<u>-</u>
Fund Balance at End of Year	<u>\$ 1,162,776</u>	<u>\$ 1,162,776</u>	<u>\$ 1,924,264</u>	<u>\$ 761,488</u>

MISSION ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION
NOTES TO REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION
September 30, 2010

The board of directors prepares a budget each year which is then submitted to the City Manager of the City of Mission, Texas. The budget of the MEDC is incorporated into the budget process for the City of Mission, Texas which is described below. The City follows these procedures in establishing the budgetary data reflected in the financial statements:

1. The City Manager submits a proposed operating budget for all funds, as mandated by the City Charter, to the City Council 60 to 90 days prior to the beginning of each fiscal year. The operating budget includes proposed expenditures and the means of financing them.
2. The City Council selects the time and place of a public hearing on the budget to obtain taxpayer comments.
3. The appropriated budget is adopted by a majority vote of the City Council, and signed into law by a budget ordinance.
4. The budget amounts used in this report are as amended by the City Council.
5. Budgetary appropriations lapse at the end of each fiscal year.
6. The total estimated expenditures of the General Fund and Debt Service Fund may not exceed the total estimated revenues plus cash on hand of each fund.
7. The current level of budgetary control is the department level within each fund.

Encumbrances represent commitments related to unperformed contracts for goods or services. Encumbrance accounting, under which purchase orders, contracts and other commitments for the expenditure of resources are recorded to reserve that portion of the applicable appropriation, is utilized in the governmental funds. Encumbrances outstanding at year end are reported as reservations of fund balances and do not constitute expenditures or liabilities because the commitments will be honored during the subsequent year.

*OTHER
SUPPLEMENTARY
INFORMATION*

MISSION ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION
DEBT SERVICE FUND
BUDGETARY COMPARISON SCHEDULE
For the Year Ended September 30, 2010

	Final Budget	Actual	Variance with Final Budget Positive (Negative)
Revenues:			
Taxes:			
Interest earned on investments	\$ 6,000	\$ 1,187	\$ (4,813)
Interest earned on demand deposit	500	132	(368)
Total Revenues	<u>6,500</u>	<u>1,319</u>	<u>(5,181)</u>
Expenditures:			
Current:			
Debt Service:			
Principal retirement	335,864	343,817	(7,953)
Interest and fiscal agent charges	355,072	347,118	7,954
Total Debt Service	<u>690,936</u>	<u>690,935</u>	1
Total Expenditures	<u>690,936</u>	<u>690,935</u>	1
Excess (Deficiency) of Revenues Over Expenditures	<u>(684,436)</u>	<u>(689,616)</u>	<u>(5,180)</u>
Other Financing Sources (Uses):			
Operating transfers	<u>690,936</u>	<u>690,936</u>	-
Total Other Financing Sources (Uses)	<u>690,936</u>	<u>690,936</u>	-
Net Change in Fund Balance	<u>6,500</u>	<u>1,320</u>	<u>(5,180)</u>
Fund Balance at Beginning of Year	<u>595,664</u>	<u>595,664</u>	-
Fund Balance at End of Year	<u>\$ 602,164</u>	<u>\$ 596,984</u>	<u>\$ (5,180)</u>

MISSION ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION
CAPITAL PROJECTS FUND
BUDGETARY COMPARISON SCHEDULE
For the Year Ended September 30, 2010

	Final Budget	Actual	Variance with Final Budget Positive (Negative)
Revenues:			
Interest earned on investments	\$ -	\$ 3,208	\$ 3,208
Interest earned on demand deposit	-	64	64
Total Revenues	<u>-</u>	<u>3,272</u>	<u>3,272</u>
Expenditures:			
Professional and Technical Services	100,000	131,629	(31,629)
Purchased Property Services	8,276	8,275	1
Capital Outlays	2,602,302	2,449,144	153,158
Miscellaneous	100,000	-	100,000
Total Expenditures	<u>2,810,578</u>	<u>2,589,048</u>	<u>221,530</u>
Excess (Deficiency) of Revenues Over Expenditures	<u>(2,810,578)</u>	<u>(2,585,776)</u>	<u>224,802</u>
Net Change in Fund Balance	<u>(2,810,578)</u>	<u>(2,585,776)</u>	<u>224,802</u>
Fund Balance at Beginning of Year	<u>2,811,069</u>	<u>2,811,069</u>	<u>-</u>
Fund Balance at End of Year	<u>\$ 491</u>	<u>\$ 225,293</u>	<u>\$ 224,802</u>

This page left blank intentionally.

COMPLIANCE

This page left blank intentionally.

REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING
AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS
BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL
STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH
GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS

Chairman and Members of the Board
Mission Economic Development Corporation
Mission, Texas

Members of the Board:

We have audited the financial statements of the governmental activities and each major fund of the Mission Economic Development Corporation as of and for the year ended September 30, 2010, and have issued our report thereon dated January 12, 2011. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit, we considered the Corporation's internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Corporation's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the Corporation's internal control over financial reporting.

A deficiency in internal control exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent or detect and correct misstatements on a timely basis. A *material weakness* is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in an internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the Corporation's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis.

Our consideration of internal control over financial reporting was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting that might be deficiencies, significant deficiencies or material weaknesses. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting that we consider to be material weaknesses, as defined above.

Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the Corporation's financial statements are free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of management and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

Long Chilton, LLP
LONG CHILTON, LLP
Certified Public Accountants

McAllen, Texas
January 12, 2011